

COMPARISON OF CELLULAR AND HUMORAL IMMUNE RESPONSES OF CHICKS TO INFECTIOUS BURSAL DISEASE VACCINATION.

Animoke, P. C.*, Ezeibe, M. C. O., Ngene, A. A***

**Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State*

***Michael Okpara University, Umudike, Abia State*

paul.animoke@unn.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

To study the relationship between cellular immune response and humoral immune response of chicks to Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD) vaccination, four groups of chicks vaccinated with IBD vaccine were bled 3 weeks post vaccination (PV). Their whole blood samples were used for total and differential White Blood Cells (WBC) count to determine number of lymphocytes per ml of their blood, while their sera were used for Quantitative Agar Gel Precipitation Test (QAGPT) to assess antibody titre. Least AGPT titre that gave zero mortality to IBDV challenge was also determined.

Correlation existed between humoral immune response with mean antibody (AGPT) titre, 9 ± 2.06 and cellular immune response with mean lymphocytes count (Per ml) $,216,500 \pm 20,900$ ($p < 0.05$). From AGPT titre 8 (about 200,000 lymphocytes per ml of blood) and above, there was zero mortality to IBDV challenge.

Key words: Humoral immunity, Cellular immunity, AGPT Antibody titre, IBD.

INTRODUCTION

Infectious Bursal Disease (IBD) is a very important economic problem in Nigeria (Akoma and Baba, 1975, Abdu et al, 2001)(I.W. Musa, L. Sai`du and E.S. Abalaka, 2012. Economic Impact of Recurrent Outbreaks of Gumboro Disease in a Commercial Poultry Farm in Kano, Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Poultry Science*, 6: 152-159.) and in most poultry producing countries of the world (Okoye, 1984). The disease is very contagious (Benton et al, 1967) and causes high mortality (Annon, 1977)(Islam, M.T and M. a. Samad, 2004. Mortality in chicks associated with economic impact and prospect of layer chicks rearer package programme of the participatory livestock development project in Bangladesh. *Int. J. Pout Sci*, 3: 119 - 123). Infected chickens that do not die of IBD are often immunosuppressed and so, die of secondary infections (Okoye and Aba – Adulugba, 1988)(*Rev. sci. tech. Off. int. Epiz.*, 2000,19(2), 527-543 Infectious bursal disease (Gumboro disease) T.P.van den Berg, N. Etteradossi, D. Toquin & G. Meulemans). Control of IBD is very difficult because of antigenic variations of the causative virus (Mcferan et al, 1980, Aghakehan et al, 1996, Roji and Cerne, 1997). Most countries are now adopting different measures to improve control of IBD. In China, a mixture of yolk IgG and hyperimmune serum is used in prevention and treatment of IBD (Lin – Yusher et al,1997). Haddad et al (1997) reported that USA has used a combination of IBDV strain 2512 and bursal disease antibodies to produce IBDV – BDA complex vaccine which has proved protective even when chicks with maternal antibodies are vaccinated.

In Nigeria, main method of control for IBD is mass vaccination of chicks with live attenuated IBD virus vaccine. IBD often occurs in the country, at times, even among vaccinated flocks (Ezeokonkwo, 1997). A recent serosurvey of day old chicks hatched in Nigeria also showed they lacked maternal antibodies against IBD. There is therefore need for regular evaluation of immune response of vaccinated flocks, especially, parent stock hens.

Viral vaccines provoke immune responses to protect vaccinated animals (White,1984). Immune response is either humoral (mediated by antibodies) or cellular, through lymphocytes (Paul, 1989). Both cellular and humoral immune responses are specific to the invading antigen (Roitt,1994, Stites et al, 1994) and develop concurrently

(Janeway and Travers,1994). So, assessment of any of the two, may give indication of ability of vaccinated animals to resist infection by the antigen.

Serologic tests used to assay IBD antibody titres of avian species include Radioimmunoassay (RIA), Enzymeimmunoassay (EIA), PolyAcrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (PAGE) and Agar Gel Precipitation Test (Roitt,1994, Stite, et al, 1994, Abbas et al, 1994, Herbert, 1994).

Some of these tests are sophisticated and expensive. Most farms and laboratories in developing countries can not afford them for routine assessment of immune responses of vaccinated animals. Hematology to determine lymphocyte count is simpler and cheaper. Agar Gel Precipitation Test (AGPT) is also a simple quantitative serologic test (Herbert,1974).

It was therefore thought useful to compare AGPT titres of IBD vaccinated chicks with their cellular immune response (lymphocytes count) to see if differential lymphocyte count can give a good assessment of immunity against IBDV in vaccinated flocks.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Four groups, each of 10 chicks vaccinated at 10 days of life were bled 3 days post vaccination. Part of each blood sample was used for differential lymphocytes count while the remaining portion was allowed to clott for serum used for Quantitative Agar Gel Precipitation Test as described by Herbert (1974). The mean lymphocytes count and mean AGPT titre were compared by correlation coefficient.

In a second experiment, 6 groups, each of 10 chicks, were vaccinated with IBD vaccine on their tenth day of life. Conditions, such as, medication with multivitamins before and after the vaccination, day of bleeding post vaccination and administration of booster vaccination were varied for the groups in order to get different immune levels in the different groups of chicks. A seventh group was not vaccinated and served as control. Blood was collected from each group for serum before they were challenged with one drop (I/O) of a virulent IBD virus (NVRI, Vom, Nigeria) per chick.

The sera were used for QAGPT to determine antibody titre for each group while the chicks were observed for clinical signs and for mortality.

RESULTS

There was correlation between mean AGPT titre (9 ± 2.06) and $216,500 \pm 20,900$ per ml got as mean lymphocytes count ($P < 0.05$).

The AGPT titres and lymphocyte counts are as on table 1.

Mortality was 100% for a group with AGPT titre zero, 80% for a group with titre 1 and 70% when titre was 2. Groups with AGPT titres of 8, 16, ≥ 32 and another ≥ 32 had zero mortality each.

Table 1: AGPT titres and Lymphocyte counts of chicks vaccinated with IBD vaccine.

AGPT Titre	Lymphocyte Count(Per ml).
8	212,000
4	168,000
16	270,000
8	216,000

AGPT Titre of chicks vaccinated with IBD vaccine was directly related to their lymphocyte count ($P < 0.05$).

DISCUSSION

The association of humoral immune response (AGPT antibody titre) and cellular immune response (lymphocyte count) seen in this experiment is in agreement with reports of Roilf (1991) and Stites et al (1994) that when an antigen enters a host, the host's Antigen Presenting Cells (APC) digest the antigen and present its peptides to the host's T – cell receptors so that the body produces both cellular and humoral immune responses specific to the antigen. Humoral and cell mediated immunity develop concurrently (Janeway and Travers, 1994).

Most laboratories in Nigeria and in other countries in the tropics where IBD causes more mortality (Annon, 1977) run differential WBC count routinely. So, it may be easy to educate poultry farmers especially those who keep parent stocks to check immune responses of their flocks after IBD vaccination by assessing number of lymphocytes per ml of blood of vaccinated chickens.

Since least protective antibody titre was AGPT 8 and from result of the first experiment, two groups with AGPT 8 gave lymphocytes of 212,000 and 216,000 per ml of blood, lymphocyte counts of 200,000 and above may protect chicks against IBDV challenge.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, A. K., Lichtman, A. H. and Pober, J. S. (1994). Cellular and molecular Immunology. 2nd Ed. Saunders, Philadelphia. 823 – 837.
- Abdu, P. A., Umoh, J. U., Abdulahi, S. U. and Saidu, I (2001). Infectious Bursal Disease (Gumboro) in Nigeria. *Trop. Vet*, 19 (4):216 – 236.
- Aghakhan, S. M., Fereidouni, S. R., Ashar, N. and Sami, Z. (1996). Characterization of a highly virulent infectious bursal disease virus. *Archives-de-1 Institute Razi*. 46 (4) : 55 – 63
- Akoma, M. B. and Baba, S. S. (1995). Survey for infectious bursal disease virus antibody for free-range and commercial birds in Borno State, Nigeria. *Journal of the Pastur Institute* 3: 46 – 48.
- Annon, R. (1987). Synthetic Vaccines. C.R.C. Press, USA.
- Benton, W. J., Cover, M. S. and Lake, R. S. (1967). Physicochemical properties of the infectious bursal agent (IBA). *Avian Dis*. 11: 438 – 445.
- Ezeokonkwo, R. C. (1997). Infectious Bursal Disease outbreak in a flock of four weeks old pullets despite repeat vaccination with Infectious Bursal Disease Vaccine. *Nig. Vet. J*. 18 :253 – 256.
- Haddad, E. E., Whitfill, C. E., Avakian, A. P., Richs, C. A., Anrews, P. D., Thomas, J. A, and Wakeniel, P.S. (1997). Efficacy of a novel Infectious Bursal Disease Virus immune complex vaccine in broiler chickens. *Avian Dis*. 41(4):882 – 889.
- Herbert, W. J (1974). *Veterinary immunology*. Blackwell Sc. Pub. Ltd, London pp 150 – 163.
- Janeway, C. A. and Travers, P. (1994). *Immunology: Current Biology*. Garland Press, New York. pp 56 – 73.
- Lin – Yusher, Guo-Yuqiang, Zhan-Legun, Yang Liankai and Zhu-Gf (1997). Experiments on the control of infectious bursal disease in chickens, using antibody extracts. *Chinese J. Vet. Med*. 23 (3): 11 – 12.
- Mcfferan, J. B., McNulty, M. S., Mckillop, M. S. Corner, T. J. R., McCracken, R.M., Collins, D.S and Allan, G. M. (1980). Isolation and serologic studies with IBD fowls, turkeys and ducks: Demonstration of a second serotype. *Avian Pathol*. 9: 395 – 404.
- Okoye, J. O. A. (1984). Infectious Bursal Disease of chickens. *Vet. Bull*. 54 :425 – 436.
- Okoye, J. O. A and Aba – Adulugba, E, P. (1988). Comparative study of the resistance or susceptibility of local Nigerian and exotic chickens to Infectious Bursal Disease. *Avian pathol*. 27(2) : 168 – 173.
- Paul, W. E. (1989). *Fundamental Immunology*. 2nd Ed. Raven Press, New York. pp 612 – 617.
- Roilf, I. (1991). *Essential Immunology*. Blackwell Sc. Pub. Oxford.
- Rojs, O. Z. and Cerne, M. (1997). Pathological changes in specific pathogen free chickens experimentally inoculated with highly virulent field isolate of Infectious Bursal Disease Virus (IBDV) in comparison with vaccinal strain of IBDV. *Zhoraik Veterinaske – Fakultete Univerza – Ljubljana*. 34 (2) : 177 – 186.
- Stites, D. P (1994). *Basic and clinical Immunology*. 8th Ed. Appleton and Lange pp 377 – 379.
- White, D. O. (1984). Antiviral chemotherapy, interferons and vaccines. *Monographs . virol*. 16 :23 – 26.