# GROWTH PERFORMANCE, NUTRIENT DIGESTIBILITY AND GUT MORPHOLOGY OF COCKERELS FED DIETS CONTAINING VARYING LEVELS OF COWPEA (Vigna Unguiculata) MILLING WASTE MEAL

# A. A. MALIK\*, O. J. ALABI, A. T. IJAIYA, K. I. RAHEEM, O. A. OSUNTOYE AND T. A. ASHAOLU

Department of Animal Production, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Niger State \*Correspondence: delemalik@gmail.com, 08030637763

## ABSTRACT

Three hundred (300) one-week old Bovan Nera cockerel chicks were used to investigate the effect of feeding diets containing varying levels of cowpea milling waste meal (CMWM) on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and gut morphology of cockerels. The birds were randomly allocated to five dietary treatments, with three replicates per treatment made up of twenty birds per replicate, in a completely randomized design experiment. The treatments were 0, 10, 20, 30 and 40 %dietary inclusion levels of CMWM and were tagged CMWM<sub>0</sub>, CMWM<sub>10</sub>, CMWM<sub>20</sub>, CMWM<sub>30</sub> and CMWM<sub>40</sub> respectively. The experiment lasted for eight weeks and data on growth performance were taken. At the end of the 7th week of the experiment, a nutrient digestibility trial was carried out. Two birds per replicate were selected and placed in specially constructed metabolism cages for seven days; three days to acclimatize them and four days for faecal collection. Gut morphology data were taken at the termination of the feeding trial at the 8th week of the experiment. One bird was selected per replicate and slaughtered by cervical dislocation and the internal organs weighed. Results show that birds on CMWM<sub>30</sub> had the highest feed intake (1531.67) and the ones on CMWM<sub>0</sub> had the lowest (1120.46); birds on CMWM<sub>30</sub> had the highest final bodyweight (428.47) and the ones on CMWM<sub>0</sub> had the lowest (354.57). FCR results indicated that birds on CMWM<sub>10</sub> (3.28) and CMWM<sub>0</sub> (3.55) were better than those on CMWM<sub>30</sub> (4.07). The dietary treatments had effect (P<0.05) on dressing % and weight of GIT, small intestine, large intestine and lungs. Result of digestibility trial show that dietary treatments had no effect on the digestibility of the nutrients by the birds. Therefore, it is recommended that up to 40 % of CMWM can be included in the diets of cockerels with no detrimental effects on their growth performance and nutrient digestibility but better gut morphology was obtained with CMWM<sub>20</sub>.

Keywords: Growth performance, nutrient digestibility, gut morphology, cockerels.

## INTRODUCTION

Feeding has an important role to play in poultry production when higher quality product and fast growth rate are desired. The feeding of poultry birds attracts between 70 and 75 % of the total cost of production because of the high cost of conventional feed ingredients (Afolayan, 2008). The major problem associated with the use of conventional plant protein seeds in compounding livestock rations is the competition between man and livestock for these vital protein sources. However, the industrial processing of protein grain by-products which are regarded as wastes for man but can serve as feed for livestock can help to considerably reduce the cost of feeding of poultry. One of these grain milling by-products is the cowpea milling waste meal obtained from the industrial processing of cowpea seed into beans flour which has potential as a valuable feed resource for feeding of cockerels. Various studies have shown that cowpea is a good source of protein to man and animals; its milling waste contains up to 24 % crude protein and the nutrient can easily be utilized by livestock (Davis et al., 1991). Therefore, the objective of this research study is to determine the growth

Session: Feed Resources, Nutrition and Management

performance, nutrient digestibility and gut morphology of cockerels fed diets containing varying levels of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) milling waste meal.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS Experimental Diets

Cowpea milling waste meal (CMWM) was purchased at the Kitchen Friendly Limited, an agro-processing company located at Nyikangbe, Off Bida Road, Minna, Niger State. The waste was acquired as a by-product from the industrial processing of cowpea grains. The meal was used to compound cockerel diets at inclusion levels of 0, 10, 20, 30 and 40 % to form diets 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively (Table 1).

**Experimental Birds and their Management** 

Three hundred (300) Bovan Nera cockerel chicks purchased from Dayntee Farm in Ajashe-Ipo, Kwara State Nigeria, were used for the study. The experiment was carried out under intensive system of management with feed and water supplied ad-libitum. Poultry pens used for the study were cleaned and disinfected with germicide (IZAL) prior to the stocking of the birds. Litter materials used was wood shavings. The floor spaces were covered with wood shavings and the wall covered with polythene sheets to keep the pens warm, particularly during brooding period. Electric bulbs and rechargeable lamps were used as sources of illumination while coal pots served as sources of heat for the birds. On arrival, the birds were de-boxed, counted and made comfortable in their pens. They were then served medicated water containing glucose, antibiotics and antistress. Thereafter, they were adjusted in the cases for one week during which period they were fed the Control Diet At the end of adjustment period, the birds were weighed and randomly allocated to the five dietary treatments made up of three replicates per treatment and 20 birds per replicate. The experiment lasted for eight weeks. A standard vaccination schedule as recommended by the Nigerian Veterinary Medical Association for the region was followed strictly.

**Parameters Determined** 

Using standard procedures as described by Adesida et al. (2010)

growth performance parameters such as feed intake, body weight gain and feed conversion ratio were determined.

At the end of the 7th week of the experiment, a nutrient digestibility trial was carried out using the total collection method. This involved the selection of two birds from each replicate and putting them in specially constructed metabolism cages for seven days. In the first three days, the birds were allowed to acclimatize to the environment in the cages, followed by four days' collection of faecal samples from each replicate. The collected faeces were weighed and dried in an oven at 85°C till a constant weight was attained; and the samples for each replicate bulked together and weighed. Representative samples were then taken for proximate analysis. Difference between the nutrients consumed and nutrients voided in the faeces multiplied by 100 the apparent nutrient digestibility gives coefficient of the feed according to the method described by Isikwenu et al. (2010).

Gut morphology was determined at the end of the 8<sup>th</sup> week of the experiment (when the birds were nine weeks old). Two cockerels per replicate were selected at random and kept off feed for eight hours; but provided with water. Each of the birds was weighed and killed by cervical dislocation, scalded in hot water, eviscerated and the different internal organs weighed.

#### **Chemical Analysis**

The proximate composition of CMWM, the experimental diets and the collected faecal samples were determined using the procedures of AOAC (1990).

### Statistical Analysis

All data were subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the Completely Randomized Design model, using Statistical Analysis System (SAS, 2012). Where differences existed at 5 % ∝-level, they were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (SAS, 2012).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The CP content of CMWM is 24.85 % (Table 2); this is close to the value of 20.30 % obtained by Malik et al. (2015) showing that CMWM is good source of protein for poultry. The CP content of the experimental diets ranged from 20.41 to 22.11 %; this meets the dietary CP requirement of cockerels as recommended by Aduku (1993) and Olomu (2011) for the tropics. Feed intake and hence final body weight were significantly (p<0.05) higher for the CMWM-based diets than for the Control diet, although body weight gain were not significantly (p>0.05) different among the treatment groups (Table 3). Also, there were no significant (p>0.05) differences in the digestibility of nutrients among the treatment groups (Table 4). This result is similar to the report of Apata and Ologhobo (1994) and Ani and Omeje (2007), who observed that feed intake in the diet containing cowpea testa and raw bambara nut waste correspondingly increased with increasing levels of their inclusion in the diet. Average body weight gain is similar to those of Akanji et al. (2015) who reported that cowpea based diets showed no significance difference in weight gain between the test diets and the control diet. For gut morphology (Table 5), The GIT and small intestine weight was significantly (p<0.05) higher for the CMWM diets than for the Control diet while CMWM20 had significantly (p<0.05) higher live weight, dressing %, large intestine % and lungs % than the other treatments. This is similar to what was obtained by Ngiki et al. (2014) that the dressed weight of broiler chicks increased as the level of cassava meal increased in the diets up to a point, indicating the maximal utilization of the test ingredient.

#### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that for optimum growth performance and nutrient digestibility, CMWM can be included up to 40 % in the diet of cockerels; however, 20 % inclusion level gave better results for optimum gut morphology.

#### REFERENCES

- A.O.A.C. (1990). Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official Methods of Analysis, 15<sup>th</sup> edition, Washington DC.
- Adesida, S. A., Agunbiade, J. A. and Eniolorunda, O.O. (2010). Effect of palm kernel cake and deoiled palm kernel cake on the performance of cockerels. *Nig. J. Anim. Prod.*, 37(1), 34-39.
- Aduku, A.O. (1993). Tropical feedstuffs analysis table. Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture. Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, 196.
- Afolayan, M. A. (2008). Nigerian Oriented Poultry feed Formulation Software requirments. *Journal of applied science Research*, 4(11): 1596-1602
- Akanji, A., Fasina O and Ogungbesan A (2015). Growth and Heamatological Profile Of Broiler Chickens Fed Raw And Processed Cowpea Based Diets. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare www.iiste.org ISSN 2224-3208 (Paper) ISSN 2225-093X (Online) Vol., No.6.
- Ani, A.O and Omeje, O.D (2007). Response of broiler finishers to graded levels of raw bambara nut (Vigna subterranea (L) Verdc) waste and supplementary enzyme. Tropical Journal of Animal Science, 10 (1&2):281-288.
- Apata, D.F and Ologhobo, A D. (1994). Biochemical evaluation of some Nigerian legume seeds. *Food Chemistry*, 49:333-338.
- Davis DW, Oelke EA, Oplinger ES, Doll JD, Hanson CV, and Putnam, D.H. (1991). "Cowpea" Alternative Field Crops Manual. 390 (9): 557 600.
- Isikwenu, J. O., Akpodiete, O. J., Omeje, S. I. & Okagbare, G. O. (2010). The effects of replacing groundnut cake with urea-treated and fermented brewers' dried grains on nutrient digestibility, retention and carcass characteristics of broiler finishers. Nigerian Journal of Animal Production, 37(1), 1-12.
- Malik, A. A., Ijaiya, A. T., Popoola, R. O., Owolabi, R. O., Okedunwon, O. S., Olalere, O. M. and Malik, A. F. Growth performance and economic characteristics of broiler

chicken fed diets containing varying levels of cowpea (vigna unguiculata) milling waste at the starter phase. Proceedings of the 40th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Society for Animal Production, March 15th -19th, 2015, Ahmadu Bello Zaria, Nigeria, pp. 414-417.

Olomu, J. M. (2011). Monogastric Animal Nutrition: Principles and Practice (2nd edition). Benin-City, Nigeria: St. Jackson Publishing.

SAS (2012). Statistical Analytical Systems. User's Guide, SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA.

Table 1 Composition of the experimental diets fed to cockerels

Table 1 Composition o	Level of co	wpea milling wast	e mear (%)	CMWM <sub>30</sub>	CMWM <sub>40</sub>
Ingredients	CMWM <sub>0</sub>	CMWM <sub>10</sub>	CMWM <sub>20</sub>	Page Control of the C	21.30
Maize	48.50	41.50	35.00	28.40 14.00	14.40
Maize offal	14.90	14.50	14.40		18.50
Ground nut cake	31.00	28.40	25.00	22.00 30.00	40.00
CMWM	0.00	10.00	20.00	3.55	3.55
Bone meal	3.55	3.55	3.55	0.50	0.50
Lysine	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Methionine	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.30	0.30
Salt	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.25	0.25
*Premix	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.50	0.50
Lime stone	0.50	0.50	100	100	100
TOTAL	100	100	100		
Calculated Analysis	and the	20.22	20.14	20.19	20.07
Crude protein	20.04	20.23		2746	2746
ME(Kcal/kg)	2728	2732	2738 4.13	3.42	3.57
Crude fibre	4.01	4.06	1.37	1.47	1.07
Lysine	1.20	1.27	0.87	0.89	0.91
Available phosphorus	0.90	0.85	1.57	0.73	0.80
Calcium	1.60	1.56	0.77	0.73	0.80
Methionine	0.74	0.76	E 76	6.14	6.46
Ether extract	5.03	5.42	000 III vitamin E=2.30	00 III vitamin K <sub>3</sub> = 200	mg ,vitamin B <sub>1</sub> =

\*0.25kg of Premix contained vitamin A=1,000,000 IU, vitamin D<sub>3</sub>=2,000 IU, vitamin E=2,300 IU, vitamin K<sub>3</sub>= 200mg, vitamin B<sub>1</sub>=180mg, vitamin  $B_2$ =550mg, niacin =2750 mg, pantothenic acid =750 mg, vitamin  $B_6$ =300 mg, vitamin  $B_{12}$ =15mg, folic acid=75mg, biotin = 6mg, choline chloride = 30000 mg, cobalt = 20 mg, copper = 300 mg, iodine = 100mg, iron = 2000 mg, manganese = 4000 mg, selenium =20 mg. zinc = 3000 mg, antioxidant = 125 mg.

CMWM = Cowpea milling waste meal

ME = Metabolizable energy

Table 2 P	roximate co	mposition of c	CNANA MINING	CMWM <sub>30</sub>	the experiment CMWM <sub>40</sub>	CMWM
Parameters (%)	Control	$CMWM_{10}$	CMWM <sub>20</sub>		86.50	97.00
Dry matter	91.30	95.00	90.20	90.32	20.98	24.85
Crude protein	21.04	21.63	22.11	20.41	3.00	14.00
Crude fibre	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	8.50	5.00
Ether extract	10.50	7.50	11.00	9.50	9.50	9.00
Ash	9.50	9.50	6.50	10.00	/ Extension	44.15
NFE	47.76	53.87	47.59	47.49	44.52 ) % inclusion lev	Salting Transit

CMWM = Cowpea milling waste meal

Control = 0 % inclusion level

 $CMWM_{10} = 10 \%$  inclusion level NFE = Nitrogen free extracts

 $CMWM_{20} = 20 \%$  inclusion level  $CMWM_{30} = 30 \%$  inclusion level

 $CMWM_{40} = 40 \%$  inclusion level

Session: Feed Resources, Nutrition and Management

Table 3 Growth performance of cockerel chicks fed diets containing varying levels of cowpea milling

waste meal for the per	iod of fifty six	k days	CHANNA	CMWM <sub>30</sub>	CMWM <sub>40</sub>	SEM	LOS
Parameters	$CMWM_0$	CIVI W IVI 10	1307.50 <sup>bc</sup>	1531.67ª	1453.59 <sup>ab</sup>	46.35	*
Feed intake (g) Initial body weight(g)	1120.46 <sup>d</sup> 48.61	1183.06 <sup>cd</sup> 48.64	50.36	52.08 428.47 <sup>a</sup>	46.92 422.92 <sup>ab</sup>	0.86 9.14	NS *
Final body weight(g) Body weight gain(g) Food conversion ratio	364.57 <sup>b</sup> 315.96 3.55 <sup>ab</sup>	409.72 <sup>ab</sup> 361.08 3.28 <sup>a</sup>	406.25 <sup>ab</sup> 355.89 3.69 <sup>abc</sup>	376.39 4.07°	376.00 3.89 <sup>bc</sup>	9.12 0.09	NS *

Feed conversion ratio 3.55<sup>ab</sup> 3.28<sup>a</sup> 3.69<sup>abc</sup> 4.07 3.89

Beed conversion ratio 3.55<sup>ab</sup> 3.28<sup>a</sup> 3.69<sup>abc</sup> 4.07 3.89

Beed conversion ratio 3.55<sup>ab</sup> 3.28<sup>a</sup> 3.69<sup>abc</sup> 4.07 3.89

CMANA = 0 % inclusion level	CMWM <sub>10</sub> = 10 % inclusion level	CMWM = Cowpea milling waste meal CMWM <sub>20</sub> = 20 % inclusion level
-----------------------------	---	--

Table 4 Nutrient digestibility of cockerels fed diets containing varying levels of cowpea milling

waste meal	rutt tellt eng			CD #SS/S#	CMWM <sub>40</sub>	SEM	LOS
Parameters	Control	CMWM <sub>10</sub>	CMWM <sub>20</sub>	CMWM <sub>30</sub>	75.26	2.05	NS
Dry matter	82.54	79.85	84.16	79.86	48.82	3.15	NS
Crude protein	66.65	59.73	63.85	58.37	29.43	1.02	NS
Crude fibre	10.33	65.02	10.77	27.80	72.34	4.60	NS
Ether extract	76.35	52.68	71.78	72.51	47.68	4.29	NS
Ash	65.54	46.24	44.39	54.37	75.24	1.75	NS
NFE	84.43	87.10	85.65	81.44	58.86	1.98	NS
TDN	71.54	67.72	72.15	67.38	NFE = Nitro	1000	dract
SEM = Standard Error of Mean TDN = Total digestible nutrient CMWM <sub>10</sub> = 10 % inclusion level		LOS = Level of significance CMWM = Cowpea milling waste meal CMWM <sub>20</sub> = 20 % inclusion level		Control = 0 CMWM <sub>30</sub> =	% inclusion	n level	
level CMWM <sub>40</sub> = 40 % inclusion level		NS = Not s	significant				

Table 5 Effect of feeding diets containing varying levels of cowpea milling waste on relative gut

morphology of coc	kerel chicks	OR STREET	CMWM <sub>20</sub>	CMWM <sub>30</sub>	CMWM <sub>40</sub>	SEM	LOS
Parameters	Control	CMWM <sub>16</sub>		492.33 <sup>b</sup>	485.66 <sup>b</sup>	7.26	*
Live weight (g)	555.00 <sup>ab</sup>	493.66 <sup>b</sup>	660.00 <sup>a</sup>	60.63 <sup>b</sup>	60.34 <sup>b</sup>	0.47	*
Dressing %	61.92 <sup>ab</sup>	61.02 <sup>b</sup>	64.09°		16.17 <sup>ab</sup>	0.35	*
GIT %	14.15 <sup>b</sup>	16.24 <sup>ab</sup>	14.66 <sup>ab</sup>	16.42ª	0.70	0.06	15
Crop %	0.79	1.08	0.74	0.69	0.60	0.01	NS
Proventriculus %	0.53	0.54	0.56	0.56	4.95	0.16	15
Gizzard %	4.35	5.11	4.87	5.18	3.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.98	*
Small intestine %	2.86 <sup>b</sup>	3.04 <sup>ab</sup>	3.55ª	3.49°	0.38 <sup>b</sup>	0.09	*
Large intestine %	0.72 <sup>ab</sup>	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	1.04ª	0.42 <sup>b</sup>		0.20	NS
Heart %	0.62	0.55	0.57	0.60	0.56	0.20	NS
	1.778	1.98	1.95	1.88	2.22		NS
Liver %	1.00	1.00	0.70	0.85	0.77	0.07	*
Kidney %	0.56 <sup>ab</sup>	0.51 <sup>b</sup>	$0.80^{a}$	0.64 <sup>ab</sup>	0.75 <sup>alb</sup>	0.04	
Lungs % Spleen %	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.01	NS

ab Means in the same row with different superscripts were significantly different (P<0.05)

SEM = Standard Error Of Mean GIT = Gastro-Intestinal Tract CMWM <sub>20</sub> = 20 % inclusion level	Control = 0 % inclusion level	CMWM: Cowpea Milling Waste Meal CMWM <sub>10</sub> = 10 % inclusion level CMWM <sub>40</sub> = 40% inclusion level.
--	-------------------------------	---